

# RCDD Long Range Planning Committee Meeting 5/14/15

## Minutes

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Campbell at 10:00 AM.

In attendance were Drew Ermelin, Judith Lackland, Jim Wiley, Ken McKinney, Ted McDermott, and Chairman Doug Campbell. There were also eleven residents in attendance.

The minutes from 4/9/15 were approved on a motion by Ted McDermott, and seconded by Drew Ermelin.

Chairman Campbell updated the committee on the status of the parcel A & B land purchases. He reported that parcel B is closed, and parcel A is still in due diligence, and should be closed at the end of May.

Chairman Campbell reported that he had a communication with committee member Dennis Knaub that he could not attend the meeting due to family matters, but that he will submit a report on neighboring communities at the June meeting.

Chairman Campbell then began a discussion on what other capital projects might the residents expect in the coming years, and is there a way to communicate those costs to the residents. The committee came up with five potential projects which included campus expansion, traffic signal, a second waterline, parcel C, and erosion and environmental issues. The committee decided that once it was determined how potential items such as these would be financed we should list them on our website for the residents.

The Chairman then brought up the concept of forming an Ad Hoc committee to oversee the campus expansion, and when would be the best time for that to take place. Audience member Rich Knaub gave his opinion and a lively discussion took place on this subject. Drew Ermelin suggested that if and when an Ad Hoc committee was formed, the role, parameters, and duties of this group should be defined in writing to our committee. Jim Martone who was in the audience suggested that our current building experienced significant cost overruns because of residents thinking they could manage the construction.

Jeff Matthews then gave a presentation of his latest concept for campus expansion based in part on the survey results, as well as the ability to expand due to the purchase of the two parcels of land. The most significant difference in the new concept was that the current activity center would have the interior redesigned to accommodate offices and meeting rooms, and a new building would be constructed for large meetings, theatrical performances, and dances. The cost would remain in line with previous estimates. Another lively discussion ensued with ideas and suggestions from the committee and audience members.

The meeting was adjourned on a motion by Jim Wiley and seconded by Ken McKinney at 11:50.

