

# **RCDD Long Range Planning Committee Continued Meeting 2/12/16**

## **Minutes**

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Campbell at 10:04 AM

In attendance were Ted McDermott, Drew Ermelin, Peter Stocks, Larry Nalducci, Judith Lackland, Jim Wiley, Bob Giordano, Ken Mc Kinney, and Doug Campbell. There were also sixteen residents in attendance. Jeff Matthews came in a few minutes late.

The minutes from the first part of the continued meeting were approved on a motion by Larry Nalducci and seconded by Ted McDermott.

Chairman Campbell opened the meeting by relating a situation resulting from the previous meeting's round table discussion where a committee member had suggested that the committee look into the possibility of creating walking trails along the river. Although it was just an innocent uninformed suggestion of something that may, or may not be looked into in the future, residents who were informed of the situation took it totally out of context, and created an email uproar. The Chairman wanted to make certain that everyone heard the issue in its proper context.

The Chairman then asked Rich Knaub who was in attendance if he would present some of the engineering and cost information he had recently received from CHP Engineering who is designing and engineering the proposed Traffic signal at Riverwood Drive and State Road 776. Rich explained two potential options. One proposed by the DOT with a cost of approximately \$150,000.00 (round numbers) simply for the turn lane design, and a counter proposal by Jeff Satfield from CPH Engineering that would only cost approximately \$50,000.00 (round numbers) . These costs would be in addition to the price tag of \$280,000.00-\$350,000.00 for the actual light and associated structures.

Jeff Matthews then took over the meeting and presented the optional concept that the BOS had requested from him. He expanded on the previous week's meeting with detailed drawings of the new concept, and explained the pros and cons as they related to the original three building concept. There were a number of questions posed by both committee members and residents in attendance, and Jeff tried to answer as best he could considering that a number of them required more detail than was available in this conceptual phase of the project. When pressed as to which design he preferred, Jeff indicated that although he truly liked his new optional concept, and that it had a number of added benefits, he preferred his original three building design since it was not only esthetically more pleasing, but offered significantly more practical options for the future. Several committee members and audience members expressed their agreement. Jeff indicated that the decision was obviously up to the BOS, and certainly cost would be one of the determining factors.

Committee member Bob Giordano then made a motion that since the committee felt as though Mr. Matthews had fulfilled his obligation to create optional concepts for the BOS, the committee should now formally accept the concepts and recommend that the BOS consider one or the other of them for approval. The motion was seconded by Larry Nalducci.

At 12:04 the meeting was adjourned on a motion by Drew Ermelin, and seconded by Jim Wiley