

# RCDD Long Range Planning Committee Meeting 1/7/16

## Minutes

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Campbell at 10:06 PM

In attendance were Drew Ermelin, Jim Wiley, Peter Stocks, Ken McKinney, Ted McDermott, and the Doug Campbell. Jeff Matthews came in at approximately 10:12. In addition there were about a dozen folks in the audience.

The minutes from the 12/10/15 meeting were approved on a motion by Ted McDermott and seconded by Ken McKinney.

The chairman began by reading a cost estimate done by Jeff Satfield from CPH engineering for installing walking trails on parcel "A". The cost was for clearing the way and installing 3500 feet of 8 foot wide paved asphalt multiuse path. The cost included cost for lighting, but did not include permit fees or surveys. The total cost including 10% profit and 15% in contingency was **\$469,631.25**. Jeff Matthews commented that this is a helpful estimate, and was most likely done with ADA in mind, but wondered if we needed the entire path paved, rather than perhaps a small loop, and why do we need lighting? A brief discussion took place, including comments from the audience. The consensus from those in attendance was that we had never envisioned the path this sophisticated, and certainly not lit, and that we could probably cut this cost significantly if not in half without paving and lighting.

Jeff Matthews then began a discussion of his current proposed design of the building structures on campus, asking for comments from the committee and the audience. He went over room by room in the proposed design, and queried whether he got it right or what we might change. There were a number of comments about specific rooms, sizes and locations. In addition there was a specific comment on the location of the pool table and puzzle area. A discussion took place about these issues. Jeff then spoke briefly about what might have to change when he offers his optional design for expanding the current structure rather than building a third building. It was made clear that his optional, as well as his current proposals would need to come to the board with costs for each, so that the board could then determine whether the sacrifice in esthetics and functionality was worth what we would save. Most, if not all of the people (committee members and audience) who offered an opinion indicated we should NOT be penny wise and pound foolish, and choose a concept that saves a small amount of money now but offers regrets at a later date.

The last 10 to 15 minutes of the meeting were a brief discussion of closing/not closing/partially closing Willow Bend Road. Jeff indicated his personal choice, but said he would present it both ways.

The meeting was adjourned on a motion by Ken and Ted at 12:05.

